

①
3
SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1498 /2005

PETITIONER

: Ashwini Kumar Sharma, S/o. Shri Kapil Prasad Sharma, aged about 39 years, R/o. Village - Kunda, Block & Tah. Pandariya, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

- P.R. No. 1495/05
Presented by Shri. A. K. Hignam
dated. 07-04-05
- 1) State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
G.E. Road, Raipur (C.G.).
 - 2) Director of Public Instructions,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Directorate of Public Instructions,
(DPI Office) Pension Bada,
Raipur (C.G.)
 - 3) Deputy Director of Public
Instruction,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Education Department,
Education District Bilaspur, (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
7.4.05
CLERK TO J. C. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1498/... सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-4-2005 Mr. Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioner is that in the year 1981 the Education Department of the erstwhile State of Madhya Pradesh conducted a test for appointment of ad-hoc Assistant Teachers in the Government Primary Schools. In that test the petitioner was selected and accordingly, he was appointed for a period of three months on a ~~fixed salary of Rs 300/- per month~~ ^{Rs 6.50 paise per day}. However, the services of the petitioner were discontinued and the vacancy, which arose on account of discontinuation of the petitioner's services, was filled by the State of Madhya Pradesh. Thereafter, the petitioner is regularly making representations for considering the petitioner for appointment on the post of Teacher. Further case of the petitioner is that in the year 1997 the erstwhile State of M.P. took a decision to appoint ad-hoc teachers in place of Shiksha Karmi, but the same is not being implemented by the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and respondent No.2 be directed to decide his representation, to which learned counsel for the State of Chhattisgarh has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within ten days from today raising all his grievances, and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the representation in an objective	

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. 1498/

मामला क्रमांक सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	manner after considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.Nos.2848/2005 and 2849/2005 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. <i>as typographical mistake is noticed on page 100, in fifth line therefore same has been corrected today.</i>	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge